

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/358,177	07/20/1999	YI-QUN LI	SPIN98-01PA	1212	
21005	7590 11/04/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	, BROOK, SMITH &	PATIDAR, JAY M			
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2862		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/358,177	LI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jay M. Patidar	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	lugust 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 6-21 and 23-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21 and 23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8,11-17,19,20 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

This communication is in response to applicants response filed on August
 2003.

2. Claims 12,14,16 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear as to why applicant has changed the term from "the" to "a"; applicant is requested to change "a layer" to ---the layer--- for antecedent basis purpose.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8,11-17,19-20,24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podney (5,675,252).

Podney discloses a magnetic field sensor having a multilayer material, the multilayer material comprising (emphasis added) a layer of a magnetostrictive material 5 in contact with a layer of a piezoelectric 3 material (See figs. 1-2), and

Art Unit: 2862

the multilayer material specially when the magnetostrictive material is subjected to an alternating magnetic field, a strain is induced and a detectable voltage signal in the piezoelectric material is generated and wherein during operation the sensor does not consume any external electrical power. Podney discloses a multilayered sensor with one layer of piezoelectric material and second one of magnetostrictive material. Podney fails to use two layers of the second material and the first material is positioned in between. It is known in the art to use one layer of magnetostrictive material adjacent to the piezoelectric material to detect voltage signal in the piezoelectric material. This concept is known in the art and also taught by Podney. One ordinary skill in the art would use just one layer of piezoelectric material and one layer of magnetostrictive material to detect a voltage signal. Furthermore, Podney fails to show the use such device for the flow meter or as current measuring device. The use of such device for different purposes or measuring current or the rotational position of the object is considered an intended manner of operation or use. Adjusting the sensitivity of the sensor would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Ferrite magnetostrictive material is readily available material and already used in magnetostrictive sensing devices (Note e.g. GB 2188157).

As to claim 13, supporting the sensor, as a cantilever would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art since the provision of adjustability or suitability, where needed, involves only routing skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/358,177

Art Unit: 2862

4. Claims 9-10,18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/358,177

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Jay M. Patidar
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2862
November 3, 2003

Page 5